THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

W.P.Nos. 20124, 20126, 20128 and 20132 of 1995

Dated 19-09-2006

W.P.No.20124 of 1995

Between:

M. Mohan Rao and others

……Petitioners.

and

The Mandal Parishad Development Officer,
Mandal Parishad, Maddipadu Prakasam District and others

……Respondents.

W.P.No.20126 of 1995

Between:

Araveeti Sreenivasa Rao,
D.V.N. Colony,
Tripuranthakam Mandal, Prakasam District

……Petitioner.

and

The Mandal Parishad Development Officer,
Mandal Parishad, Tripuranthakam Mandal,
Prakasam District and others

……Respondents.

W.P.No.20128 of 1995

Between:

Devarakonda Chenna Kesavulu,
Rachakonda , Pullalacheruvu Mandal,
Prakasam District

……Petitioner.

and

The Mandal Parishad Development Officer,
Mandal Parishad, Pullalacheruvu Mandal,
Prakasam District and others

……Respondents.

W.P.No.20132 of 1995

Between:

K. V. Saraswathamma,
Ramachndanagar (Branch School),
Kothapalem, Chinnaganjam Mandal,
Prakasam District

……Petitioner.

and

The Mandal Parishad Development Officer,
Mandal Parishad, Chinnaganjam Mandal,
Prakasam District and others

……Respondents.

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

W.P.Nos. 20124, 20126, 20128 and 20132 of 1995

ORDER:

In all these four writ petitions, a common grievance is raised namely, the proceedings dated 24-7-1995 issued by the 1st respondent whereunder the petitioners were called upon to show cause as to why their services as Secondary Grade Teachers shall not be terminated for obtaining employment on the basis of the alleged bogus certificates issued by Karnataka State.

The interim stay of further proceedings was granted in all these writ petitions, but during of course of the hearing of the writ petitions, the learned Government Pleader for School Education produced a copy of

G.O.M.s.No.180, Education (Ser.III), dated 30-12-2004, wherein the Government of Andhra Pradesh taking a sympathetic view of the cases, granted three years time to 197 Secondary Grade Teachers mentioned in Annexure to the said G.O to acquire the training qualification in one of the DIETS of A.P Government.

It is also mentioned in the G.O that they are eligible for regular scales and salaries of regular teaches. The three petitioners figured at Serial Nos.85, 87 and 88 respectively. Two writ petitions are filed evidently by mistake on behalf of Sri. N.V.Prasada Rao whose name is mentioned at Serial No.85 of the Annexure to the G.O. Hence one of the writ petitions has become redundant. Having regard to the submissions of the learned Government Pleader that the petitioners are covered by the said G.O and that they are being continued in pursuance of the said G.O, no further relief is required to be considered.

These writ petitions are accordingly closed. No order as to costs.

_____

(C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY, J)

Dated 19th September 2006
mrb